

IID CHOSS-REFERENCE FORT

This pross-reference form is used when a document contains pervisiont data on two or more files and sufficient ocpies of the Regiment are not available for cross-filing.

tandon number of document	:	MGKW-5285					
onte of document	t	23 June 1950					
Title of document	3		DECLAS	SIELED AN	0_8E1	EASE	0
			CENTRA	L INTELLI	GENC.	E ASE	N
		William Advantage of the Printer	BOUNCE	SHETHOOSE	XENPT	1013	8
Document to be filed in	' \$	32-6-6-28		R GR+MES B O O B	19 2L0	SURE	A
Prose-reference form to be filed in	2	-	J		:	٠.	
				,			

Enderpt of pertinent information a

1. MSBA-175 16 September 1947

BITSCHNAU: About 30. Son-in-law of Vorarlberg lawyer and former Heimwehr leader. POLLATCEK. Lives in Innsbruck, Erzherzog Eugenstr. 17. Austrian at present working for the FIS in Tyrol on anti-Mussian intelligence. Stated that he had built up an anti-Russian intelligence service throughout Austria and that through his service he had collected a great deal of interesting material. Fritz WUENTHLE, former Press Referent in Tyrol, got in touch with subject after Austrian Foreign Minister GRUBER hinted that he and some government colleagues in Vienna were considering the possibility of some form of anti-Russian intelligence service in Austria which would supply them with anti-Russian material (anti-Communist) for the next Austrian elections.

2. MSBA-226 3 October 1947

BITSCHNAU: With regard to the formation of an Austrian IS, subject stated that he had had considerable experience as a German intelligence officer during the war and in consequence was interested inouly a "professionally organized" service. As an Austrian subject he wished to keep his organization purely Austrian and did not like the idea of working for foreign powers, because abdlagugh he today had the approvalagent. The Austrian government was not prepared to set up an official intelligence SECRE service and he had no use for party political intelligence. service and he had no use for party political intelligence organizations. Subject had misgivings about supplying his material to the French, not knowing if they were really trustworthy, but at the same time loath to cut them out. He was also opposed to and intended tomresist present American attempts to obtain the exclusive rights to his material. He considered the Americans and French made the most of his material; the British attitude was an unigma to him.

Subject comes from Heimwehr circles. He is described as a typical German intelligence officera- conceited and very sure of himself, with probably above average organizing talent. Has impressive filing and card index systems;

the security measures which stresses, are not so impressing At first sight his material looks exciting: verbatim reports of secret Communist Meetings, list of Russian and kiPO agents, with their pass numbers, reports of conversations between Russian and austrian Communists, secret instructions to Communist agents, etc., Furthermore, he has stated that he has succeeded in placing agents in numerous Communists or organizations, etc., On second glance, however, there are numerous contradictory items in his material and some obvious phonies. It would not be at all surprising if a great deal of his material is intentionally planted on him for transmission to the Western powers. His means of checking on his sources and his sub-sources is limited and entirely inadequate. He has admitted that the best he can do is to check up on the extent to which events proved his material to be correct. Subject met of the second of the can be contradicted that the best he can do is to check up on the extent to which events proved his material to be correct. Subject met of the second of the can be contradicted that the best he can do is to check up on the extent to which events proved his material to be correct. Subject met of the can be contradicted that the best he can do is to check up on the extent to which events proved his material to be correct. Subject metable to the can be contradicted that the best he can do is to check up on the extent to which events proved his material to be correct. Subject metable to the can be contradicted that the best he can do is to check up on the extent to which events proved his material to be correct. Subject metable to the can be contradicted that the best he can do is to check up on the extent to which events proved his material to be correct. possibility of collaboration with him.

THIS IS ALL THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT WHICH IS PERTINENT TO THE SUBJECT.

.